



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/770,380	02/02/2004	Milind Rajopadhye	DM-6999(BMS-2594)	9680
23914 75	07/28/2005		EXAMINER	
STEPHEN B. DAVIS BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY PATENT DEPARTMENT			BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 4000			1624	
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-4000			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	10/770,380	RAJOPADHYE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	oears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence'address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/2/2	<u>2004</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>t</i>			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 are subject to restriction and/or e			
Application Papers	•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

K

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

The preliminary amendment, which included cancellation of claims 7-57, filed on 2/2/2004, is made of record. Claims 1-6 are now pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6, drawn to compound bearing indazole nonpeptide la or lb as targeting moiety wherein X^{1d}, X^{2d}, X^{3d} and X^{4d} are all carbon and a chelator, classified in class 548 subclasses 361.1, 362.1,362.5, 364.5, class 514 subclass 415 and various other classes and subclasses depending upon the preferred embodiments of chelating groups and other hetero ring substituents.
- II. Claims 1-6 drawn to a indazole nonpeptide or indazole nonpeptide la or lb as targeting moiety and chelator not provided for in Group I, classified in classes 544, 546, 548 and others subclasses various depending upon the choice of the X^{1d}, X^{2d}, X^{3d} and X^{4d} and the preferred embodiments of chelating groups and other hetero ring substituents.

If Group II is elected; applicants should also elect a specific targeting moiety i. e a suitable combination of the choice of the X^{1d}, X^{2d}, X^{3d} and X^{4d} not provided for in the Group I or a indazole nonpeptide of specific structural make-up

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

As per MPEP § 803, there are two criteria for a proper requirement for restriction between patentably distinct inventions:

Art Unit: 1624

(A) The inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed and

(B) There must be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is required.

Invention I and II are independent and distinct from each other because they are directed to structurally dissimilar compounds that lack common targeting core moiety namely indazole versus various indazole with variously substituted with nitrogen in the six membered phenyl ring. The term indazole nonpeptide itself has not be defined and as recited can include any or all indazole. Consequently, the groups have different classifications and require separate prior art searches. They can be made and used independently. Art, which may render obvious or anticipate one of the groups would not necessarily do the same for the other group. Each can support a patent, as the compounds of each group are capable of being utilized alone not in combination with other members listed in the Markush group.

In addition, it is necessary to classify and search all the hetero cores and such a search of all cores would serious search burden given the limited time available for each application.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: See claim 6.

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1-5 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

In view of the distinct nature of the invention for reasons stated above, the restriction is set forth in writing.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be

addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (571)

272-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from

8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Acting Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624

is James O. Wilson, whose telephone number is 571-272-0661. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAG. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/770,380

Art Unit: 1624

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-2 17-9197 (toll-free).

Venloutaraman Balasubramanian

7/25/2005